

HM-9301 (03/08)

County of San Diego

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH-HAZARDOUS MATERIALS DIVISION

P.O. BOX 129261, SAN DIEGO, CA 92112-9261 (619) 338-2222 FAX (619) 338-2377; 1-800-253-9933

UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK MONITORING SYSTEM CERTIFICATION

Authority Cited: Chapter 6.7, Health and Safety Code; Chapter 16, Division 3, Title 23, California Code of Regulations

This form must be used to document installation, testing and servicing of monitoring equipment. A separate certification or report must be prepared for each monitoring system control panel by the technician who performs the work. A copy of this form must be provided to the tank system owner/operator. The owner/operator must submit a copy of this form to the local agency regulating UST systems within 30 days of test date.

Plan Check Number:	Permit Number:												
A. General Information													
Facility Name:	Bldg. No.:												
Site Address:	City:Zip:												
Facility Contact Person:	Contact Phone No.: ()												
Make/Model of Monitoring System:	Date of Testing/Servicing:/												
B. Inventory of Equipment Tested/Certified: Check the appro	priate boxes to indicate specific equipment installed/inspected/serviced:												
Tank ID:	Tank ID:												
☐ In-Tank Gauging Probe. Model:	☐ In-Tank Gauging Probe. Model:												
☐ Annular Space or Vault Sensor. Model:	☐ Annular Space or Vault Sensor. Model:												
☐ Piping Sump / Trench Sensor(s). Model:	☐ Piping Sump / Trench Sensor(s). Model:												
☐ Fill Sump Sensor(s). Model:	☐ Fill Sump Sensor(s). Model:												
☐ Mechanical Line Leak Detector. Model:	☐ Mechanical Line Leak Detector. Model:												
☐ Electronic Line Leak Detector. Model:	☐ Electronic Line Leak Detector. Model:												
☐ Tank Overfill / High-Level Sensor. Model:	☐ Tank Overfill / High-Level Sensor. Model:												
Other (specify equipment type and model in Section E on Page 2).	☐ Other (specify equipment type and model in Section E on Page 2).												
Tank ID:	Tank ID:												
☐ In-Tank Gauging Probe. Model:	☐ In-Tank Gauging Probe. Model:												
☐ Annular Space or Vault Sensor. Model:	☐ Annular Space or Vault Sensor. Model:												
☐ Piping Sump / Trench Sensor(s). Model:	☐ Piping Sump / Trench Sensor(s). Model:												
☐ Fill Sump Sensor(s). Model:	☐ Fill Sump Sensor(s). Model:												
☐ Mechanical Line Leak Detector. Model:	☐ Mechanical Line Leak Detector. Model:												
☐ Electronic Line Leak Detector. Model:	☐ Electronic Line Leak Detector. Model:												
☐ Tank Overfill / High-Level Sensor. Model:	☐ Tank Overfill / High-Level Sensor. Model:												
Other (specify equipment type and model in Section E on Page 2).	☐ Other (specify equipment type and model in Section E on Page 2).												
Dispenser ID:	Dispenser ID:												
☐ Dispenser Containment Sensor(s). Model:	☐ Dispenser Containment Sensor(s). Model:												
☐ Shear Valve(s).	☐ Shear Valve(s).												
☐ Dispenser Containment Float(s) and Chain(s).	☐ Dispenser Containment Float(s) and Chain(s).												
Dispenser ID:	Dispenser ID:												
☐ Dispenser Containment Sensor(s). Model:	☐ Dispenser Containment Sensor(s). Model:												
Shear Valve(s).	☐ Shear Valve(s).												
☐ Dispenser Containment Float(s) and Chain(s).	☐ Dispenser Containment Float(s) and Chain(s).												
Dispenser ID:	Dispenser ID:												
☐ Dispenser Containment Sensor(s). Model:	☐ Dispenser Containment Sensor(s). Model:												
Shear Valve(s).	☐ Shear Valve(s).												
Dispenser Containment Float(s) and Chain(s).	☐ Dispenser Containment Float(s) and Chain(s).												
*If the facility contains more tanks or dispensers, copy this form. Include													
The facility contains more tanks of dispensers, copy this form. Include	information for every tank and dispenser at the facility.												
C Cartification I cartify that the againment identified in t	his document was installed/inspected/serviced in accordance with the												
manufacturers' guidelines Attached to this Cartification is infor	mation (e.g. manufacturers' checklists) necessary to verify that this												
	ng equipment. For any equipment capable of generating such reports, I												
have also attached a copy of the report (check all that apply): \Box	System set-up Alarm history report												
Technician Name (print):	Signature:												
	License No.:												
	Phone No.: ()												
Testing Company Address:	Date of Testing/Servicing:/												

Page 1 of

County of San Diego-DEH-Hazardous Materials Division

UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK MONITORING SYSTEM CERTIFICATION

D. Resi	ults of Te	sting/Servicing Permit Number:
Software	Version Ins	stalled:
Complete	e the follow	ring checklist:
☐ Yes	☐ No*	Is the audible alarm operational?
☐ Yes	☐ No*	Is the visual alarm operational?
☐ Yes	☐ No*	Were all sensors visually inspected, functionally tested, and confirmed operational?
☐ Yes	□ No*	Were all sensors installed at lowest point of secondary containment and positioned so that other equipment will not interfere with their proper operation?
☐ Yes	□ No*□ N/A	If alarms are relayed to a remote monitoring station, is all communications equipment (e.g. modem) operational?
☐ Yes	□ No* □ N/A	For pressurized piping systems, does the turbine automatically shut down if the piping secondary containment monitoring system detects a leak, fails to operate, or is electrically disconnected? If yes: which sensors initiate positive shut-down? (<i>Check all that apply</i>) \square Sump/Trench Sensors; \square Dispenser Containment Sensors. Did you confirm positive shut-down due to leaks <u>and</u> sensor failure/disconnection? \square Yes; \square No.
☐ Yes	□ No* □ N/A	For tank systems that utilize the monitoring system as the primary tank overfill warning device (i.e. no mechanical overfill prevention valve is installed), is the overfill warning alarm visible and audible at the tank fill point(s) and operating properly? If so, at what percent of tank capacity does the alarm trigger's%
☐ Yes*	□ No	Was any monitoring equipment replaced? If yes, identify specific sensors, probes, or other equipment replaced and list the manufacturer name and model for all replacement parts in Section E, below.
☐ Yes*	□ No	Was liquid found inside any secondary containment systems designed as dry systems? (Check all that apply, \square Product; \square Water. If yes, describe causes in Section E, below.
☐ Yes	□ No*	Was monitoring system set-up reviewed to ensure proper settings? Attach set up reports, if applicable
☐ Yes	□ No*	Is all monitoring equipment operational per manufacturer's specifications?
E. Com	ments: _	

UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK MONITORING SYSTEM CERTIFICATION

F. In-	Tank Ga	uging / SIR Equipment: Permit Number:
		heck this box if tank gauging is used only for inventory control
	☐ Cl	heck this box if no tank gauging or SIR equipment is installed
This sec	ction mus	t be completed if in-tank gauging equipment is used to perform leak detection monitoring.
	e the follo	wing checklist:
☐ Yes	□ No*	Has all input wiring been inspected for proper entry and termination, including testing for ground faults?
☐ Yes	□ No*	Were all tank gauging probes visually inspected for damage and residue buildup?
☐ Yes	□ No*	Was accuracy of system product level readings tested?
☐ Yes	□ No*	Was accuracy of system water level readings tested?
☐ Yes	□ No*	Were all probes reinstalled properly?
☐ Yes	□ No*	Were all items on the equipment manufacturer's maintenance checklist completed?
* In Sect	ion H belo	w, describe how and when these deficiencies were or will be corrected.
C Lin	o Look D	Detectors (LLD): Check this box if LLDs are not installed.
G. LIII	e Leak D	Detectors (LLD):
Complet	e the follo	wing checklist:
☐ Yes	☐ No*	For equipment start-up or annual equipment certification, was a leak simulated to verify LLD performance?
	□ N/A	(Check all that apply) Simulated leak rate: □ 3 g.p.h.; □ 0.1 g.p.h.; □ 0.2 g.p.h.
☐ Yes	☐ No*	Were all LLDs confirmed operational and accurate within regulatory requirements?
☐ Yes	☐ No*	Was the testing apparatus properly calibrated?
☐ Yes	□ No*	For mechanical LLDs, does the LLD restrict product flow if it detects a leak?
	□ N/A	To the state of th
☐ Yes	□ No* □ N/A	For electronic LLDs, does the turbine automatically shut off if the LLD detects a leak?
☐ Yes	□ No* □ N/A	For electronic LLDs, does the turbine automatically shut off if any portion of the monitoring system is disabled or disconnected?
☐ Yes	□ No* □ N/A	For electronic LLDs, does the turbine automatically shut off if any portion of the monitoring system malfunctions or fails a test?
☐ Yes	□ No*	For electronic LLDs, have all accessible wiring connections been visually inspected?
	□ N/A	
☐ Yes		Were all items on the equipment manufacturer's maintenance checklist completed?
* In Sect	ion H belo	ow, describe how and when these deficiencies were or will be corrected.
Н.	Commen	its:

I. Results of Vacuum/Pressure Monitoring Equipment Testing

This page should be used to document testing and servicing of vacuum and pressure interstitial sensors. A copy of this form must be included with the Monitoring System Certification Form, which must be provided to the tank system owner/operator. The owner/operator must submit a copy of the Monitoring System Certification Form to the local agency regulating UST systems within 30 days of test date.

I	Model:		System Type: Pressure; Vacuum
Component(s) Monitored by this	s Sensor:		
Sensor Functionality Test Result:	Pass; Fail	Interstitial Comr	munication Test Result: Pass; Fail
Component(s) Monitored by this	s Sensor:		
Sensor Functionality Test Result:	Pass; Fail	Interstitial Comr	munication Test Result: Pass; Fail
Component(s) Monitored by this	s Sensor:		
Sensor Functionality Test Result:	Pass; Fail	Interstitial Comr	nunication Test Result: Pass; Fail
Component(s) Monitored by this	s Sensor:		
Sensor Functionality Test Result:	Pass; Fail	Interstitial Comr	nunication Test Result: Pass; Fail
Component(s) Monitored by this	s Sensor:		
Sensor Functionality Test Result:	Pass; Fail	Interstitial Comr	nunication Test Result: Pass; Fail
Component(s) Monitored by this	s Sensor:		
Sensor Functionality Test Result:	Pass; Fail	Interstitial Comr	nunication Test Result: Pass; Fail
Component(s) Monitored by this	s Sensor:		
Sensor Functionality Test Result:	Pass; Fail	Interstitial Comr	nunication Test Result: Pass; Fail
Component(s) Monitored by this	s Sensor:		
Sensor Functionality Test Result:	Pass; Fail	Interstitial Comr	nunication Test Result: Pass; Fail
Component(s) Monitored by this	s Sensor:		
Sensor Functionality Test Result:	Pass; Fail	Interstitial Comr	nunication Test Result: Pass; Fail
Component(s) Monitored by this	s Sensor:		
Sensor Functionality Test Result:	Pass; Fail	Interstitial Comr	nunication Test Result: Pass; Fail
How was interstitial communication v Leak Introduced at Far End of Interstitial Sybelow)		☐ Visual Inspecti	ion; Other (Describe in Sec. J,
Was vacuum/pressure restored to operating	levels in all interstitia	l spaces?	No (If no, describe in Sec. J, below)

J. Comments (500 characters max. use additional sheets if needed):

HM-9301 (03/08)

¹ If the sensor successfully detects a simulated vacuum/pressure leak introduced in the interstitial space at the furthest point from the sensor, vacuum/pressure has been demonstrated to be communicating throughout the interstice.

UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK MONITORING SYSTEM CERTIFICATION Permit Number:

UST Monitoring Site Plan

•		•	•						•			•	•				•		٠				•	•	 •	•					 •	•		 		•		
•	•	•	•	 •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	 •	•	•	 •	•	 •	•	•	•	 •	•	•	 	•	•	•	
	•	•	•		•	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	•	•			•	•	٠	•	•	 •	•	•	 •	٠	 •	٠	٠	•	 •	•	•	 	•	٠	٠	
	•	•	•	 •	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	 •	•	•	 •	•	 •	•	•	•	 •	•	•	 	•	•	•	
																				•														 				
		•	•			•		•	•										•	•		 •				•	 •	•					•	 		•		
	•	•	•	 •	•	•	•	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	•	•	•		•	•	٠	•	•	 •	•	•	 •	•	 •	•	•	•	 •	•	•	 	•	•	•	
	•			 •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	 •	•		 •	•	 •	•	•	•	 •	•	•	 		•	•	
																				•														 				
	•	•	•	 •	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•			٠	•	•	•	•	 •	•	•	 •	•	 •	•	٠	•	 •	•	•	 	•	•	•	
	•	•	•	 •	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	 •	•	•	 •	•	 •	•	٠	•	 •	•	•	 	•	•	•	
					:	•		•	•				•				•			•		 •	•		 •	•	 •	•	•	•	 •	•	•	 	:	•		
								•					•										•		 •		 •			•	 •	•	•	 				
	•	•	•	 •	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	 •	•	•	 •	•	 •	•	٠	•	 •	•	•	 	•	•	•	
	•	•	•	 •	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	 •	•	•	 •	•	 •	•	•	•	 •	•	•	 	•	•	•	
	•	•	•			•			•			•	•	•			•		٠		•	 •	•		 •	•	 •	•			 •	•	•	 	•	•		
	•	•	•	 •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	 •	•	•	 •	•	 •	•	•	•	 •	•	•	 	•	•	•	
	•	•	•	 •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	 •	•	•	 •	•	 •	•	•	•	 •	•	•	 	•	•	•	
													•																					 				
	•	•	•			•			•			•	•	•			•		٠		•		•		 •	•		٠			 •	•		 	•	•		
	•	•	•	 •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	 •	•	•	 •	٠	 •	•	•	•	 •	•	•	 	•	٠	•	
				 •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•			•	•	•	•	•		 •	•		 •	•	 •	•			 •	•	•	 	•	•		

Instructions

If you already have a diagram that shows all required information, you may include it, rather than this page, with your Monitoring System Certification. On your site plan, show the general layout of tanks and piping. Clearly identify locations of the following equipment, if installed: monitoring system control panels; sensors monitoring tank annular spaces, sumps, dispenser pans, spill containers, or other secondary containment areas; mechanical or electronic line leak detectors; and intank liquid level probes (if used for leak detection). In the space provided, note the date this Site Plan was prepared.

HM-9301 (03/08)

Page ____ of ____

County of San Diego-DEH-Hazardous Materials Division